



# SIMPLIFIED Contract of the second sec

Edited by

Leonardo Trombelli, DDS, PhD

Full Professor and Chair, Periodontology Director, Research Centre for the Study of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases University of Ferrara

> Operative Unit of Dentistry Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale di Ferrara Ferrara, Italy



Berlin | Chicago | Tokyo Barcelona | London | Milan | Mexico City | Moscow | Paris | Prague | Seoul | Warsaw Beijing | Istanbul | Sao Paulo | Zagreb



#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Trombelli, Leonardo, editor.

Title: Simplified regenerative procedures for intraosseous defects / edited by Leonardo Trombelli.

Description: Chicago : Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc, [2020] | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Summary: "Atlas presenting surgical and nonsurgical methods to treat intraosseous defects, with a focus on the single-flap approach, including indications and contraindications for treating defects with each method and detailed descriptions of the steps required for each procedure"-- Provided by publisher.

Identifiers: LCCN 2019047285 (print) | LCCN 2019047286 (ebook) | ISBN 9780867159455 (paperback) | ISBN 9780867159929 (ebook)

Subjects: MESH: Periodontal Diseases--surgery | Guided Tissue Regeneration, Periodontal--methods | Surgical Flaps | Atlas

Classification: LCC RK361.A1 (print) | LCC RK361.A1 (ebook) | NLM WU 17 | DDC 617.6/32059--dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2019047285

LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2019047286



© 2020 Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc

Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc 411 N Raddant Road Batavia, IL 60510 www.quintpub.com

5 4 3 2 1

All rights reserved. This book or any part thereof may not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Editor: Marieke Zaffron Design: Sue Zubek Production: Angelina Schmelter

Printed in the USA



# CONTENTS

Foreword vi Preface vii Contributors ix

#### Introduction 1

Leonardo Trombelli, Roberto Farina, Anna Simonelli

## Fundamentals in Periodontal Regeneration 7

Raluca Cosgarea, Dieter D. Bosshardt, Leonardo Trombelli, Anton Sculean

#### Nonsurgical Treatment of Intraosseous Defects 41

Mario Aimetti, Giulia Maria Mariani, Federica Romano, Anna Simonelli, Leonardo Trombelli

#### Simplified Surgical Regenerative Procedures: The Single-Flap Approach 77

Leonardo Trombelli, Roberto Farina, Anna Simonelli

Index 139

#### Video content

Extra video content is available online wherever indicated by a QR code. Scan the QR codes in the text to access this supplementary information or visit the web page listed in the book.



# FOREWORD

It is always a great satisfaction when you are asked to write a foreword of a good book. This is especially true when the book expands our scientific culture and promotes the training of our students and professionals. Frequently, these books introduce new knowledge or new technologies. However, it is less frequent for a book to be purposely focused on training students and professionals on surgical procedures. This atlas focuses on evidence-based education and in acquiring relevant professional competencies in the surgical treatment of specific periodontal lesions (ie, intraosseous defects). The information provided is clear, well organized, and very practical, but at the same time it is rigorous and up to date with current knowledge, comprehensively covering the fundamentals of periodontal regeneration and the use of the different technologies. It particularly focuses on simplified surgical procedures aimed to attain the best possible regenerative outcomes with minimal invasiveness.

The author, Prof Leonardo Trombelli, has dedicated many years of his professional life to studying and researching successful long-term periodontal therapy and specifically the use of regenerative surgical interventions to improve the prognosis of periodontally affected teeth. He has published essential scientific articles that provide the basis for this book. His contribution to this work clearly demonstrates not only his excellent scientific background but also the teaching abilities that are needed to produce a book such as this, with scientific rigor and at the same time with practical relevance for students and professionals. Moreover, the many contributors in this work are not only well respected in Italy but also in Europe and beyond.

In summary, this book is clear and well written and provides very useful and relevant content to support dentists and periodontists in learning how to apply modern periodontal surgical interventions to achieve regeneration in teeth that have lost periodontal attachment as a consequence of periodontitis.

#### Mariano Sanz, MD, DDS, DR Med

Professor and Chairman, Periodontology Faculty of Odontology Complutense University of Madrid Madrid, Spain



# PREFACE

#### Man should be as eager to simplify his life as he is to complicate it. -Henri-Louis Bergson

For 20 years, in collaboration with the whole research group at the Research Centre for the Study of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases, University of Ferrara, we have been making a great effort in trying to find diagnostic and therapeutic solutions that could optimize endpoints while making clinical processes and pathways easier for practitioners and students. We started back in 2007 with a simplified method to access deep intraosseous defects (the *single-flap approach*, or SFA, that will be extensively described in this book). Then, in 2008, we introduced the Smart Lift technique—a simplified, standardized method to perform sinus elevations with a minimally invasive transcrestal surgical procedure (a method that has been extensively investigated and published on for more than 10 years). In 2009, we reported on a simplified method to assess the periodontal risk profile of the patient, based on five straightforward parameters that have been shown to be linked to the progression of periodontal breakdown. And more recently, in 2018, we published on a simplified method for horizontal bone augmentation, which is based on the creation of a periosteal pouch that acts as an osteogenic, space-providing membrane for bone grafting (the subperiosteal peri-implant augmented layer, or SPAL technique).

The development of simplified procedures has been a main focus of my career for two main reasons. First, I want to provide the profession with simple, straightforward, innovative solutions that may bring clinicians closer to procedures that are otherwise neglected because of their potential complexity. In search of simplified diagnostic and treatment procedures, we targeted those that are mostly perceived as successful only when performed by the talented and gifted hands of a few select colleagues. I am well aware that spreading the use of simplified procedures among dental professionals means amplifying the number of patients who may benefit from them. The second reason is related to my mission as a university faculty member. Teaching simple procedures can help the great majority of students to reach a high level of competence in a reasonable amount of time with a fast learning curve.

These two reasons represent the main driving force that brought me to write this book. With the large number of photographs and videos of many different clinical cases, the textbook has been designed as a sort of tutorial for both graduate students and practitioners who want to expand their knowledge and technical skill in the nonsurgical and surgical treatment of deep intraosseous defects, very common lesions in patients with Stage III and IV periodontitis. In particular, the SFA is thoroughly described with a step-by-step approach, starting from the analysis of diagnostic and prognostic patient/defect characteristics to the selection of surgical instruments, choice of flap design, methods for root debridement and



conditioning, use of appropriate regenerative technologies, description of suitable suture techniques for different flap designs, and the short- and long-term postsurgery care. A multitude of clinical cases are illustrated in great detail in order to provide a wide range of scenarios and conditions where the SFA can be easily and successfully applied.

In conclusion, I wish to acknowledge all the coauthors who have contributed to make this textbook a unique, up-to-date manual on regenerative procedures: Anton Sculean, Dieter Bosshardt, and Raluca Cosgarea, who have thoroughly described the fundamental principles of periodontal regeneration; Mario Aimetti, Giulia Mariani, and Federica Romano, who described novel nonsurgical approaches; and Roberto Farina for his talented help with the surgical chapter. A special thanks to Anna Simonelli, who spent a great amount of her postgraduate education and PhD program coordinating and monitoring a massive amount of clinical research on the SFA. Without her precious work, this textbook would have never reached such a level of quality and completeness. Also, I want to express my sincere gratitude to Quintessence Publishing, who from the very first moment has strongly and convincingly believed in this challenging editorial project. Last but not least, I want to thank my family: my wife, Cristina, and my children Emma and Andrea for their continuous, silent, and patient support.

#### Not for Publi

# CONTRIBUTORS

#### Mario Aimetti, MD, DDS

Associate Professor, Periodontology Department of Surgical Sciences Dental School University of Turin Turin, Italy

#### Dieter D. Bosshardt, PhD

Associate Professor Department of Oral Surgery and Stomatology School of Dental Medicine University of Bern Bern, Switzerland

#### Raluca Cosgarea, DDS

Assistant Professor Department of Periodontology Philipps University of Marburg Marburg, Germany

Assistant Professor Department of Prosthetic Dentistry Iuliu Hațieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy Cluj-Napoca, Romania

#### Roberto Farina, DDS, PhD, MSc

Associate Professor, Oral Surgery Research Centre for the Study of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases University of Ferrara

Operative Unit of Dentistry Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale di Ferrara Ferrara, Italy

#### Giulia Maria Mariani, DDS, MSc

Visiting Professor, Periodontology Department of Surgical Sciences Dental School University of Turin Turin, Italy

#### Federica Romano, DDS

Research ssistant, Periodontology Department of Surgical Sciences Dental School University of Turin Turin, Italy

#### Anton Sculean, DMD, Dr hc, MSc

Full Professor and Chair, Periodontology Department of Periodontology School of Dental Medicine University of Bern Bern, Switzerland

#### Anna Simonelli, DDS, PhD

Research Fellow, Periodontology Research Centre for the Study of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases University of Ferrara Ferrara, Italy

#### Leonardo Trombelli, DDS, PhD

Full professor and Chair, Periodontology Director, Research Centre for the Study of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases University of Ferrara

Operative Unit of Dentistry Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale di Ferrara Ferrara, Italy





## INTRODUCTION

Leonardo Trombelli, DDS, PhD Roberto Farina, DDS, PhD, MSc Anna Simonelli, DDS, PhD

## WHY A TEXTBOOK ON THE TREATMENT OF INTRAOSSEOUS DEFECTS?

The prevalence of intraosseous defects in adults was investigated on dried skulls<sup>1</sup> as well as through clinical<sup>2,3</sup> and radiographic assessments.<sup>4–8</sup> At the patient level, the presence of at least one intraosseous defect was detected with an incidence ranging between 25.5% and 51% in samples representative of the general population or specific age cohorts,<sup>1,6,7</sup> between 18% and 23% in patients seeking dental care,<sup>4,8</sup> and of 45.1% in a periodontally compromised cohort.<sup>2</sup> A retrospective study revealed that intraosseous lesions are at high risk of further progression, and they may lead to tooth loss if left untreated.<sup>9</sup> Papapanou and Wennström<sup>9</sup> retrospectively recorded the bone level changes as well as tooth loss over a 10-year period at tooth sites with intraosseous defects in individuals not treated with systematic periodontal therapy. The results demonstrated an increased frequency of tooth loss and bone loss with increasing depth of the intraosseous defect. In particular, the proportion of teeth lost between the 1- and 10-year examinations was 22%, 46%, and 68% for teeth with a defect depth of 2 mm, 2.5 to 4 mm, and  $\geq$  4.5 mm, respectively.

These observations reinforce the need for:

- A proper diagnosis of the intraosseous defect, which represents a common lesion in patients affected by Stage III and IV periodontitis.
- An appropriate treatment of the lesion that may successfully revert those conditions (probing depth [PD] ≥ 5 mm associated with bleeding on probing [BOP]) conducive to progressive attachment/bone loss.



#### WHY A TEXTBOOK ON REGENERATIVE PROCEDURES?

The ideal outcome of the surgical treatment of a deep intraosseous defect is the regeneration of the tooth attachment apparatus destroyed by the process of periodontitis. From a histologic point of view, periodontal regeneration implies the formation of periodontal ligament fibers inserted into newly formed cementum and bone.<sup>10</sup> Data from human histologic studies have provided evidence that periodontal regeneration may be accomplished by using different regenerative technologies, including membranes and biologic agents<sup>11–15</sup> (see chapter 2).

Extensive clinical data have shown that compared with nonregenerative treatment, the surgical regenerative treatment of deep intraosseous lesions may result in a considerable improvement of probing parameters following the tissue maturation phase.<sup>16–21</sup> From a clinical point of view, periodontal regeneration may result in a substantial increase in clinical attachment level (CAL) gain (of at least 3 mm) and relevant bone fill of the intraosseous component of the lesion together with a maintainable, stable probing depth (ie, PD  $\leq$  4 mm in absence of BOP).

Sculean et al<sup>22</sup> published the results of a 10-year follow-up after the regenerative treatment of 38 intraosseous periodontal defects with different regenerative treatments: enamel matrix derivative (EMD), guided tissue regeneration (GTR), combination EMD and GTR, and open flap debridement (OFD). After treatment, all patients were placed in a 3-month supportive periodontal care program. At 1 year, a significantly greater CAL gain was achieved in the regeneration groups (ie, GTR, EMD, or combination) compared to OFD controls, and this was maintained substantially unvaried for a 10-year period.

A 20-year follow-up after regenerative treatment of intraosseous defects was recently reported in a cohort of 45 patients.<sup>23</sup> Defects were treated with three different modalities: GTR with modified papilla preservation flap, GTR with conventional access flap, and access flap alone without membrane. All patients were enrolled in a supportive periodontal care program with 3-month recalls. At both 1-year and 20-year reevaluation, a significantly better CAL gain and PD reduction was obtained by the two GTR treatments than the access flap. Moreover, the access flap surgery was associated with a greater disease recurrence.<sup>23</sup>

Collectively, available data seem to support the use of regenerative devices to ensure better short- and long-term outcomes than mere surgical debridement at deep intraosseous defects.

## WHY A TEXTBOOK ON SIMPLIFIED TREATMENT PROCEDURES?

Despite decades of well-established nonsurgical and surgical protocols and techniques, the treatment of deep intraosseous lesions still represents a challenge for clinicians. There is a perception that the regenerative treatment of an intraosseous lesion is both technically sensitive and costly, with limited outcome predictability in the hands of the average operator (ie, not specially trained or highly skilled). This perception is likely due to aspects related to debridement of any lesions via a "closed" approach (see chapter 3) and those associated with the difficulty in performing a correct flap design and suturing technique as well as in selecting the appropriate regenerative technology. The purpose of this textbook is to present simplified procedures that may overcome these issues, at least in part, when treating an intraosseous defect.

The term *simplify* means the act of making something less complex. In the present textbook, we define a procedure aimed at improving the clinical conditions of a deep intraosseous lesion (in terms of substantial clinical and histologic attachment gain and bone fill, reduction of the PD to a maintainable condition, and limited to no postsurgery recession) as *simplified* when characterized by more favorable conditions for the patient and/or the clinical operator. Although the terms *simplification* and *minimal invasiveness* may appear as synonyms when referring to periodontal treatment, in our perspective *simplification* implies a substantially broader concept.

For the operator, a simplified procedure has the following characteristics<sup>24</sup>:

- Limited surgical equipment
- An easy-to-learn technique
- Limited need for additional treatments or devices (through the maximization of the inherent healing potential of the treated lesion)

For the patient, a simplified procedure should have a reduced impact on the following<sup>24</sup>:

- Posttreatment daily activities
- Posttreatment pain and discomfort (also reducing the required compliance for post-treatment regimens)
- Preexisting esthetics

For both patient and operator, a simplified procedure should reduce both treatment costs and chairside time needed for both treatment administration and follow-up visits.<sup>24</sup> This also results in fewer treatment costs.

Nonsurgical therapy as a standalone treatment always represents a "simplified" procedure, particularly when compared with surgical approaches. Among the available surgical options, simplified surgical procedures share a common technical aspect (ie, the elevation of a single flap on the buccal or palatal/lingual aspect), leaving the tissues on the opposite side intact. In this respect, this textbook will focus in detail on a novel surgical approach the single-flap approach—that was first introduced in 2007<sup>25</sup> and repeatedly validated by different randomized clinical trials thereafter (see chapter 4). The single-flap approach was shown to be at least as effective as traditional papilla preservation techniques when evaluated either as a standalone protocol or in combination with regenerative devices.

The main goal of this textbook is to show the effectiveness of simplified surgical procedures to treat challenging intraosseous lesions. The authors' ambition is to teach how clinicians may achieve substantial treatment outcomes associated with minimal esthetic impairment and a more tolerable postoperative course.

Simplifying both the nonsurgical and surgical treatment phases will achieve the following outcomes:

- Reshape the learning curve, thus increasing the generalizability of treatment outcomes
- Improve patient access to care by limiting biologic and economic costs

#### REFERENCES



- 1. Larato DC. Intrabony defects in the dry human skull. J Periodontol 1970;41:496-498.
- 2. Söder B, Jin LJ, Söder PO, Wikner S. Clinical characteristics of destructive periodontitis in a risk group of Swedish urban adults. Swed Dent J 1995;19:9–15.
- 3. Vrotsos JA, Parashis AO, Theofanatos GD, Smulow JB. Prevalence and distribution of bone defects in moderate and advanced adult periodontitis. J Clin Periodontol 1999;26:44–48.
- 4. Nielsen IM, Glavind L, Karring T. Interproximal periodontal intrabony defects. Prevalence, localization and etiological factors. J Clin Periodontol 1980:7:187–198.
- Papapanou PN, Wennström JL, Gröndahl K. Periodontal status in relation to age and tooth type. A cross-sectional radiographic study. J Clin Periodontol 1988;15:469–478.
- 6. Wouters FR, Salonen LE, Helldén LB, Frithiof L. Prevalence of interproximal periodontal intrabony defects in an adult population in Sweden. A radiographic study. J Clin Periodontol 1989;16:144–149.
- Soikkonen K, Wolf J, Närhi T, Ainamo A. Radiographic periodontal findings in an elderly Finnish population. J Clin Periodontol 1998;25:439–445.
- 8. Dundar N, Ilgenli T, Kal BI, Boyacioglu H. The frequency of periodontal infrabony defects on panoramic radiographs of an adult population seeking dental care. Community Dent Health 2008;25:226–230.
- 9. Papapanou PN, Wennström JL. The angular bony defect as indicator of further alveolar bone loss. J Clin Periodontol 1991;18:317–322.
- Sander L, Karring T. Healing of periodontal lesions in monkeys following the guided tissue regeneration procedure. A histological study. J Clin Periodontol 1995;22:332–337.
- 11. Nyman S, Lindhe J, Karring T, Rylander H. New attachment following surgical treatment of human periodontal disease. J Clin Periodontol 1982;9:290–296.
- Heijl L, Heden G, Svärdström G, Ostgren A. Enamel matrix derivative (EMDOGAIN) in the treatment of intrabony periodontal defects. J Clin Periodontol 1997;24:705–714.
- Bosshardt DD, Sculean A, Windisch P, Pjetursson BE, Lang NP. Effects of enamel matrix proteins on tissue formation along the roots of human teeth. J Periodontal Res 2005;40:158–167.



- 14. Majzoub Z, Bobbo M, Atiyeh F, Cordioli G. Two patterns of histologic healing in an intrabony defect following treatment with enamel matrix derivative: A human case report. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2005;25:2834-2944
- Nevins M, Kao RT, McGuire MK, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor promotes periodontal regeneration regeneration in localized osseous defects: 36-month extension results from a randomized, controlled, doublemasked clinical trial. J Periodontol 2013;84:456–464.
- 16. Trombelli L, Heitz-Mayfield LJ, Needleman I, Moles D, Scabbia A. A systematic review of graft materials and biological agents for periodontal intraosseous defects. J Clin Periodontol 2002;29(suppl 3):117–135.
- 17. Giannobile WV, Somerman MJ. Growth and amelogenin-like factors in periodontal wound healing. A systematic review. Ann Periodontol 2003;8:193–204.
- Trombelli L, Farina R. Clinical outcomes with bioactive agents alone or in combination with grafting or guided tissue regeneration. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35(suppl 8):117–135.
- 19. Koop R, Merheb J, Quirynen M. Periodontal regeneration with enamel matrix derivative in reconstructive periodontal therapy: A systematic review. J Periodontol 2012;83:707–720.
- Matarasso M, Iorio-Siciliano V, Blasi A, Ramaglia L, Salvi GE, Sculean A. Enamel matrix derivative and bone grafts for periodontal regeneration of intrabony defects. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Oral Investig 2015;19:1581–1593.
- 21. Kao RT, Nares S, Reynolds MA. Periodontal regeneration—Intrabony defects: A systematic review from the AAP Regeneration Workshop. J Periodontol 2015;86(suppl 2):S77–S104.
- Sculean A, Kiss A, Miliauskaite A, Schwarz F, Arweiler NB, Hannig M. Ten-year results following treatment of intra-bony defects with enamel matrix proteins and guided tissue regeneration. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35:817–824.
- Cortellini P, Buti J, Pini Prato G, Tonetti MS. Periodontal regeneration compared with access flap surgery in human intra-bony defects 20-year follow-up of a randomized clinical trial: Tooth retention, periodontitis recurrence and costs. J Clin Periodontol 2017;44:58–66.
- 24. Trombelli L, Simonelli A, Minenna L, Vecchiatini R, Farina R. Simplified procedures to treat periodontal intraosseous defects in esthetic areas. Periodontol 2000 2018;77:93–110.
- 25. Trombelli L, Farina R, Franceschetti G. Single flap approach in periodontal surgery [in Italian]. Dent Cadmos 2007;75:15–25.



Page references followed by "f" denote figures, "t" denote tables, and "b" denote boxes.

#### A

AAP. See American Academy of Periodontology. Acellular root cementum, 19 Air polishing, 52–53, 53f Allografts, 15 Alloplastic materials, 15 Amelogenins, 19–20 American Academy of Periodontology, 41 Amino acid glycine powder air polishing, 52 Antimicrobials, for plaque removal, 53–55, 53f–55f Autogenous grafts, 14–15

#### B

Barrier membranes, 12-13 Basic fibroblast growth factor, 25 BDXs. See Bovine-derived xenografts. bFGF. See Basic fibroblast growth factor. Bioactive glasses, 18 Biofilm, 41, 84, 110f Bite guard, 82, 83f Bleeding on probing, 1, 59-60, 78 BMPs. See Bone morphogenetic proteins. Bone grafts. See also Grafts. allografts, 15 autogenous, 14-15 in periodontal regeneration, 14-17 Bone morphogenetic proteins BMP-2, 26-27 BMP-3, 27 BMP-6, 27 BMP-7, 27-28 BMP-12, 28 BMP-14, 28 description of, 15, 26 periodontal regeneration uses of, 26-28 wound healing uses of, 26-28 Bone substitute, enamel matrix derivative with, 122-129, 124f, 126f-128f BOP. See Bleeding on probing. Bovine-derived xenografts, 15

#### С

CAL. See Clinical attachment level.
Calculus, 42f–43f
Er:YAG laser removal of, 51
manual devices for removal of, 53
residual, after professional mechanical plaque
removal, 61, 61f
subgingival, 41f–42f, 48, 52
supragingival, 42f, 52
Cartilage-derived morphogenetic protein 1, 27
CDMP-1. See Cartilage-derived morphogenetic protein 1.
Cellulose acetate laboratory filter, 12

Cemental tear, 78f Cementum, 8, 10, 17f Chlorhexidine gluconate, 54–55, 54f–55f Clinical attachment level, 69f antimicrobial effects on, 54 description of, 2, 13, 15 enamel matrix derivative effects on, 120 papilla preservation techniques' effect on, 88 preoperative loss of, 85f single-flap approach effects on, 95f, 108f Clot, fibrin, 7–9, 66–67, 111 Collagen, 7–9, 97 Collagen matrix, 10 Cone-beam computed tomography, 102 Connective tissue attachment, 8-11 Connective tissue graft with single-flap approach, 131-133, 132f-134f Coralline xenografts, 16 Coronally positioned single-flap approach, 93 CP-SFA. See Coronally positioned single-flap approach. Critical probing depth, 59

#### D

DBBM. See Demineralized bovine bone mineral. Decalcified freeze-dried bone allografts, 15-16 Demineralized bovine bone mineral, 85f Dental hygienist, 46 Dental plaque biofilm of, 41, 110f interdental cleaning for, 47, 47f interproximal cleaning for, 47 professional mechanical plaque removal. See Professional mechanical plaque removal. self-performed control of, 46-48, 47f-48f tooth brushing for, 46-47, 47f Dentin tubules, 10 DFA. See Double-flap approach. DFDBA. See Decalcified freeze-dried bone allografts. Diabetes mellitus, 61, 62f Diastema, 56 Diode laser, 51 Double-flap approach morbidity after, 98-99 single-flap approach versus, 93, 95f, 97-99, 97f, 99f Doxycycline gel, 53

#### E

Early healing index, 95–97, 96f, 97f, 119f EDTA. *See* Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. EHI. *See* Early healing index. Electric toothbrushes, 46 EMD. *See* Enamel matrix derivative. Emdogain, 20–21, 22f EMPs. *See* Enamel matrix proteins.

Enamel matrix derivative bone substitute with, 122-129, 124f, 126f-128f clinical attachment level effects of, 120 definition of, 120 derivation of, 20 effectiveness of, 120 flapless procedure and, 67–68, 68f, 70 graft biomaterial with, 123f, 124–125 growth factor activity in, 20 guided tissue regeneration and, 2, 21–23 histologic animal and human studies of, 21-23, 22f - 23fin periodontal regeneration, 20-23 periodontal tissue affected by, 20-23 single-flap approach with, 99, 120-129, 121f-124f, 125 wound healing effects of, 20–21 xenografts and, 23 Enamel matrix proteins, 19-20 Epidermal wound healing, 7, 8f Epithelial attachment, 8–11 Epithelial downgrowth, 9 ePTFE. See Expanded polytetrafluoroethylene. Er:YAG laser, 51 Ervthritol, 52 Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 69f, 121f Expanded polytetrafluoroethylene, 9-10, 88

#### F

FDBA. See Freeze-dried bone allografts. FGFs. See Fibroblast growth factors. Fibrin clot, 7–9, 66–67, 111 Fibroblast(s) basic fibroblast growth factor from, 25 enamel matrix derivative effects on, 20 Fibroblast growth factors, 25 Flap design anatomical characteristics associated with, 88, 90 classification of, 88 for intraosseous defects, 82, 84, 86-90 suturing techniques based on, 88, 90 Flap surgery. See also Double-flap approach; Single-flap approach. guided tissue regeneration and, 86 suturing technique, 86 Flapless procedure advantages of, 70 definition of, 67 enamel matrix derivatives with, 67-68, 68f, 70 intraosseous defects treated with, 70, 70f-71f limitations of, 70 operative protocol for, 69, 69f posttreatment regimen after, 70 Flossing, 47 FP. See Flapless procedure. Freeze-dried bone allografts, 15-16

#### G

Gingival recession after single-flap approach, 114f, 129–133, 129f–134f minimally invasive nonsurgical therapy and, 65 Gracey mini curettes, 51f, 64–65 Grafts allografts, 15 autogenous, 14–15

bone, 14-17 decalcified freeze-dried bone allografts, 15 enamel matrix derivative with, 123f, 124-125 freeze-derived bone allografts, 15 xenografts, 15-16, 17f Granulation tissue, 7-8, 85f Growth differentiation factor-5, 27-28 Growth differentiation factor-7, 27-28 Growth factors enamel matrix derivative and, 20 fibroblast, 25 insulin-like, 25 periodontal regeneration uses of, 24-28 platelet-derived, 24 transforming growth factor  $\beta$ , 26 GTR. See Guided tissue regeneration. Guided tissue regeneration animal studies of, 11 barrier membranes used in, 12-13 basic fibroblast growth factor and, 25 biologic concepts in, 11-18, 12f-13f, 16f-17f development of, 11 enamel matrix derivative and, 2, 21-23 flap surgery and, 86 intraosseous defects treated with, 114-118, 115f-117f long-term studies of, 2 modified papilla preservation technique for, 88 nonresorbable membranes used in, 12-13 periodontal regeneration, 11-12, 12f principles of, 10 resorbable membranes used in, 13-14 single-flap approach and, 82f, 114-118, 115f-117f smoking effects on, 78

#### Η

HA. See Hydroxyapatite.
Hertwig epithelial root sheath layer, 19
Hirschfeld file scalers, 106, 115f
Horizontal mattress suture, 106, 107f
Hydroxyapatite, 16, 18
Hydroxyapatite-based graft, with single-flap approach, 114, 118, 118t, 119f

#### I

IGFs. See Insulin-like growth factors. Incision line, for single-flap approach, 102–103, 104f Inflammatory cells, 7 Insulin-like growth factors, 25 Interdental cleaning, 47, 47f Interdental papillae, 107f Interproximal cleaning, 47 Interproximal space, narrow, 106 Interproximal tissue maintenance technique, 88, 92t Intrabony defects. See also Intraosseous defects. alloplastic grafts for, 18 bovine-derived xenografts for, 15, 16f cone-beam computed tomography of, 102 enamel matrix derivative for, 21 flapless procedure with enamel matrix derivatives for, 68f mandibular second molar, 67f Intraoral autogenous grafts, 14 Intraosseous defects. See also Intrabony defects.

angle of, 81f characteristics of, 79-80 classification of, 79, 80f cortical perforations for, 106 debridement of, in single-flap approach, 106, 112f deep, 84f depth of, 81f distribution of, 100f flap design for, 82, 84, 86–90 flapless procedure for, 70, 70f-71f. See also Flapless procedure. infrabony component of, 79, 79f interproximal, 131f minimally invasive nonsurgical therapy for. See Minimally invasive nonsurgical therapy. modified papilla preservation technique for, 88, 89f multiple, single-flap approach for, 106, 108f–110f one-wall, 79, 80f, 101 prevalence of, 1 prognosis for, 81f progression of, 1 regenerative treatment of, 2 single-flap approach and guided tissue regeneration for, 82f suprabony component of, 79, 79f surgical treatment of. See Surgical treatment. three-wall, 79, 80f, 101 tooth loss caused by, 1 two-wall, 79, 80f width of, 79 ITM technique. See Interproximal tissue maintenance technique.

#### L

Laser therapy, 51, 52f Long junctional epithelium, 9, 9f, 14–15, 18

#### Μ

Macrophages, 7 Magnetostrictive scalers, 49f Membranes, in periodontal regeneration, 12-14 Mesenchymal stem cells, 20 Millipore, 12 Mini curettes, 50, 51f, 64 Minimal invasiveness, 3 Minimally invasive nonsurgical therapy clinical results of, 65 enamel matrix derivatives with, 67. See also Flapless procedure. gingival recession and, 65 rationale for, 64 recommendations for, 65b regenerative potential of, 66-67 treatment protocol for, 64-65, 65b Minocycline, 53 MINST. See Minimally invasive nonsurgical therapy. Mitogenic factors, 20 M-MIST. See Modified minimally invasive surgical technique. Modified Bass technique, 46 Modified minimally invasive surgical technique, 93 Modified papilla preservation technique, 88, 89f, 92t MPPT. See Modified papilla preservation technique. MSCs. See Mesenchymal stem cells. Mucogingival flap, 7–8

#### Ν

Nd:YAG laser, 51 Neutrophils, 7, 8f Nonresorbable membranes, 12–13 Nonsurgical therapy appropriateness of, 41 clinical outcomes of, 55–60, 56f–60f goals of, 41–46 patient reevaluation after, 64 for periodontitis, 41–64 professional mechanical plaque removal. *See* Professional mechanical plaque removal. as simplified procedure, 3 stability as endpoint of, 56 tooth mobility affected by, 56

#### 0

Obesity, 61 OFD. See Open flap debridement. Open flap debridement description of, 12, 14 guided tissue regeneration and enamel matrix derivative versus, 22–23 Oral hygiene, home-based programs for, 47 Osseointegration, 11 Osteoconduction, 15 Osteogenic protein 1, 27–28 Osteogenin, 27–28 Oxytalan fibers, 21

#### Р

Palatal single-flap approach, 105f, 107f, 130f, 131 Papilla preservation techniques clinical attachment level affected by, 88 description of, 86, 88 indications for, 92t single-flap approach versus, 3 PDGF. See Platelet-derived growth factor. PDL. See Periodontal ligament. PDT. See Photodynamic therapy. PerioChip, 55, 55f Periodontal ligament fibroblasts of, 20 insulin-like growth factor effects on, 25 regenerative potential of, 10 Periodontal pockets, 66f Periodontal probe, 48, 49f Periodontal regeneration allografts in, 15 alloplastic materials for, 15 autogenous grafts in, 14-15 bone grafts in, 14-17 bone morphogenetic proteins for, 26–28 cells involved in, 10-11 decalcified freeze-dried bone allografts for, 15 enamel matrix derivative for, 20-23, 22f-23f experimental studies of, 14 flap design in, 84 freeze-dried bone allografts for, 15 growth factors for, 24-28 guided tissue regeneration in, 11-12, 12f, 16f membranes in, 12-14 outcomes of, 78 periodontal infection control for, 69f



predictability of, 86 suturing technique for, 86 technical factors for, 84-86, 85f technology for, 86 transforming growth factor  $\beta$  in, 26 xenografts for, 15-16, 17f Periodontal tissue, enamel matrix derivatives effect on, 20 - 23Periodontal wound healing, 7-11 Periodontitis biofilm control for, 41, 41f case study of, 44f-45f definition of, 41 modifiable risk factors for, 46 nonsurgical management of, 41-64 PGA membranes. See Polyglycolic acid membranes. Phagocytosis, 7 Phosphorus pentoxide, 18 Photodynamic therapy, 51-52, 52f Piezoelectric scalers, 49f PLA membranes. See Polylactic acid membranes. Plaque. See Dental plaque. Plasma proteins, 7 Platelet-derived growth factor, 24–25 PMPR. See Professional mechanical plaque removal. Polyglycolic acid membranes, 13–14 Polylactic acid membranes, 9, 13-14 Polymers, 18 Polymorphonuclear neutrophils, 8f PPT. See Papilla preservation technique. Primary intention healing, 10, 13, 85f, 94 Probing depths critical, 59 nonsurgical therapy effects on, 59 patient-related factors that affect, 61 Professional mechanical plaque removal air polishing for, 52–53, 53f antimicrobials for, 53-55, 53f-55f clinical outcomes of, 55-60, 56f-60f instrumentation for, 48-50, 49f-50f laser therapy for, 51, 52f limitations of, 61, 61f-63f manual instruments for, 49, 49f mini curettes for, 50, 51f periodontal probe used in, 48, 49f photodynamic therapy for, 51-52, 52f residual calculus after, 61, 61f sonic instruments for, 49-50 subgingival, 61, 61f-63f ultrasonic instruments for, 49f–50f, 49–50

#### R

Recombinant human BMP-2, 27 Recombinant platelet-derived growth factor-BB description of, 24–25, 111 single-flap approach with, 118–120, 120f Regenerative treatment, 2 Root debridement, in single-flap approach, 106, 112f Root planing. *See* Scaling and root planing. Root resorption, 8 Root surface demineralization, 10–11

#### S

Scaling and root planing antimicrobials and, 54 bone formation after, 67 residual deposits after, 67 SFA. See Single-flap approach. Sharpey fibers, 27 Silicone dioxide, 18 Simplified papilla preservation technique, 88, 89f, 92t Simplified procedure, 3 Single-flap approach advantages of, 93, 94f-95f buccal, 93, 105f characteristics of, 90-91, 91f clinical attachment level affected by, 95f, 108f connective tissue graft with, 131–133, 132f–134f coronally positioned, 93 defect debridement in, 106, 112f double-flap approach versus, 93 early wound stability after, 94–98, 95f–98f enamel matrix derivative with, 99, 125 flap elevation in, 103, 105f frequency of, 100-101 gingival recession after, 114f, 129-133, 129f-134f guided tissue regeneration and, 82f history of, 3 hydroxyapatite-based graft with, 114, 118, 118t, 119f incision line for, 102-103, 104f indications for, 92, 92t intraosseous defects treated with flap design effects on, 113-114 multiple, 106, 108f-110f without regenerative devices, 113f morbidity after, 98-99 oral hygiene after, 110 palatal, 105f, 107f, 130f, 131 papilla preservation techniques versus, 3 postoperative pain with, 99, 100f postsurgery regimen for, 110, 110f presurgical protocol for, 102 reasons for selecting, 92–93 recession after, 129-133, 129f-134f regenerative devices with biologic agents, 118-129 composite outcome measure for, 125, 128 enamel matrix derivative, 120-129, 121f-124f guided tissue regeneration, 114–118, 115f–117f necessity of, 111-114 recombinant human platelet-derived growth factor, 118-120, 120f root debridement in, 106, 112f surgical protocol for, 102-111, 104f-105f, 107f-111f suturing technique for, 106, 107f wound closure in, 106, 107f wound stability after, 94-98 Smear layer, 10 Smoking, 61, 78, 110, 111f Sodium bicarbonate air polishing devices, 52 Sodium oxide, 18 Sonic instruments, 49–50 SPPT. See Simplified papilla preservation technique. SRP. See Scaling and root planing. Subgingival calculus, 41f–42f, 48, 52 Supragingival calculus, 42f, 52 Surgical treatment clinical variability in, 77 indications for, 77-83

planning of, 82 simplified procedures, 3 timing of, 77 tooth mobility assessments, 82, 83f

#### T

TGF- $\beta$ . See Transforming growth factor  $\beta$ . Tooth brushing, for dental plaque removal, 46–47, 47f Tooth loss, 1 Tooth mobility, 56 assessment of, before surgical treatment, 82, 83f presurgical, 82 Tooth morphogenesis, 26 Transforming growth factor  $\beta$ , 26  $\beta$ -Tricalcium phosphate, 18, 24

#### U

Ultrasonic instruments, 49f–50f, 49–50 Ultrasonic scaler tips, 50

#### **W** Wound



dehiscence of, 94 maturation of, 10–11 Wound healing animal experiments in, 10 bone morphogenetic proteins for, 26–28 enamel matrix derivative effects on, 20–21 epidermal, 7, 8f fibrin clot in, 7–9, 66–67, 111 periodontal, 7–11 transforming growth factor  $\beta$  in, 26 Wound stability after single-flap approach, 94–98, 95f–98f description of, 9–10

#### X

Xenografts enamel matrix derivative and, 23 periodontal regeneration uses of, 15–16, 17f